***M&SOM Review Form***

To standardize our review process and effectively serve authors and the review team, the *M&SOM* editorial board would like all reviewers to clearly answer the following questions.

1. **What is the paper about?** [Please provide a brief summary of the paper.]

2. **What are the paper’s strengths and weaknesses?**  [Please comment on strengths in relation to (a) *Innovation* (research question, modeling, methodology, etc.); (b) *Relevance* (research question, findings, etc.); and (c) *Rigor* (appropriate methodology, correctness of analysis, etc.).]

3. **What, if any, are the major areas of potential improvement?** [Please focus on key requirements/suggestions that, if appropriately addressed, would make the paper publishable. If you see insurmountable obstacles, please clearly describe your concerns. You can best serve the editors and the authors by being specific and by providing constructive comments accompanied, where possible, by actionable suggestions. A laundry list of all possible improvements is not helpful. Likewise, ambiguous and/or vague criticisms should be avoided.]

4. **What, if any, are the minor areas of potential improvement**? [Again, please be specific.]

5. **What is your recommendation - Accept, Minor Revision, Major Revision, or Reject?** [Note: The referee’s opinion is advisory only.]